

# FULL GROUPS AND SOFICITY

GÁBOR ELEK

ABSTRACT. First, we answer a question of Pestov, by proving that the full group of a sofic equivalence relation is a sofic group. Then, we give a short proof of the theorem of Grigorchuk and Medynets that the topological full group of a minimal Cantor homeomorphism is LEF. Finally, we show that for certain non-amenable groups all the generalized lamplighter groups are sofic.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Sofic groups and LEF groups.** The notion of sofic groups was introduced by Weiss [12] and Gromov [5] (in a somewhat different form). A group  $\Gamma$  is sofic if for any finite set  $F \subset \Gamma$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite set  $A$  and a mapping  $\Theta : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Map}(A)$  such that ([3])

- If  $f, g, fg \in F$  then  $d_H(\Theta(fg) - \Theta(f)\Theta(g)) \leq \epsilon$ , where

$$d_H(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{|\{x \in A \mid \alpha(x) \neq \beta(x)\}|}{|A|}.$$

- If  $1 \neq f \in F$  then  $d_H(\Theta(f), 1) > 1 - \epsilon$ .
- $\Theta(1) = 1$ .

All amenable and residually finite groups are sofic. It is an open question whether non-sofic groups exist. If we add the extra requirement that  $\Theta(fg) = \Theta(f)\Theta(g)$ , then we get the class of LEF-groups (locally embeddable into finite groups). This class of groups was introduced by Gordon and Vershik [11]. Clearly, all residually finite groups are LEF. However, simple, finitely presented groups are not LEF. Nevertheless, by a recent result of Juschenko and Monod [6] (and Theorem 2), there exist simple, finitely generated LEF-groups.

**1.2. Sofic equivalence relations.** Let  $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the standard Borel space with the natural product measure  $\mu$ . Let  $\Phi : \mathbf{F}_{\infty} \curvearrowright X$  be a (not necessarily free) Borel action of the free group of countably infinite generators  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}, \gamma_2, \gamma_2^{-1}, \dots\}$  preserving  $\mu$ . Note that  $\mathbf{F}_{\infty} = \cup_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{F}_r$ , where  $\mathbf{F}_r$  is the free group of rank  $r$ . Hence, we also have probability measure preserving (p.m.p) Borel actions  $\Phi_r : \mathbf{F}_r \curvearrowright X$ . We say that  $x, y \in X$  are equivalent,  $x \sim_{\Phi} y$  if there exists  $w \in \mathbf{F}_{\infty}$ , such that  $w(x) = y$ . Note that slightly abusing the notation we write  $w(x)$  instead of  $\Phi(w)(x)$ . Thus, the action  $\Phi$  represents a countable measured equivalence relation  $E_{\Phi}$  on  $X$ . Similarly, each  $\Phi_r$  represents a countable measured

---

Work supported in part by a Marie Curie grant, TAMOP 4.2.1/B-09/1/KMR-2010-003 and MTA Renyi "Lendulet" Groups and Graphs Research Group.

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 20F65, 37A20

equivalence relation  $E_{\Phi_r}$  on  $X$ , and  $E_\Phi = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_{\Phi_r}$ . Each equivalence relation  $E_{\Phi_r}$  defines a graphing [7]  $G_r$  on  $X$ :

- $V(G_r) = X$ .
- $(x, y) \in E(G_r)$  if  $\gamma_i x = y$  or  $\gamma_i y = x$  for some  $i$  (so, there may be loops in  $G_r$ ).

Observe that each component of  $G_r$  is a countable graph of bounded vertex degrees. We label each directed edge  $(x, y)$  with all the generators mapping  $x$  to  $y$ . Thus an edge, even a loop, may have multiple labels.

Now let us consider transitive actions of  $\mathbf{F}_r$  on countable sets. If  $\alpha : \mathbf{F}_r \curvearrowright Y$  is such an action then we have a bounded degree graph structure on  $Y$  with multiple labels on the edges from the set  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_r, \gamma_r^{-1}\}$ . Let  $T_r$  be the set of graphs of all countable  $\mathbf{F}_r$ -actions with a distinguished vertex (the root) such that all the vertices are labeled by the elements of  $\{0, 1\}^r$ . Let  $G \in T_r$ . We define the  $k$ -ball around the root  $x$ ,  $B_k(x)$  as the induced subgraph on vertices of  $G$  in the form of  $w(x)$ , where  $w \in \mathbf{F}_r$  is a reduced word of length at most  $k$ . That is,  $B_k(x)$  is the ball centered at  $x$  of radius  $k$  with respect to the shortest path metric of  $G$ . The ball  $B_k(x)$  is a finite rooted graph with edge-colors from the set  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_1^{-1}, \dots, \gamma_r, \gamma_r^{-1}\}$  and vertex labels from the set  $\{0, 1\}^r$ . We denote the set of all possible  $k$ -balls arising from  $\mathbf{F}_r$ -actions by  $U_r^k$ . We can define a compact metric structure on the set  $T_r$  the following way. Let  $d_r(G, H) = \frac{1}{2^k}$  if  $k$  is the maximal number such that the  $k$ -balls around the roots of  $G$  resp.  $H$  are isomorphic as rooted, labeled graphs.

Observe that if  $\Theta : \mathbf{F}_\infty \curvearrowright X$  is a p.m.p action then for each  $r \geq 1$  and  $x \in X$  one can associate an element  $G(\Theta, x) \in T_r$ . Namely, the orbit graph of  $x$ , where the vertex labels are given by the  $X$ -values, restricted on the first  $r$  coordinates. Thus, we have a Borel map  $\pi_\Theta : X \rightarrow T_r$ . For  $\kappa \in U_r^k$ , let  $\mu_{\Theta_r}^k(\kappa) = (\pi_\Theta)_*(\mu)(L_\kappa)$ , where  $L_\kappa \subset T_r$  is the set of elements  $G$  such that the  $k$ -ball around the root of  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\kappa$ . In other words,  $\mu_{\Theta_r}^k(\kappa)$  is the probability that the  $k$ -ball around a  $\mu$ -random element of  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\kappa$ . Now let  $\alpha : \mathbf{F}_r \curvearrowright Y$  be an  $\mathbf{F}_r$ -action on a finite set. Then for each element  $y$  of  $Y$ , we can associate an element of  $T_r$ . Namely,  $Y$  itself with root  $y$ . Hence, we can define a probability distribution  $\mu_{\alpha_r}^{k,r}$  on  $U_r^k$ . Following [1] we say that the action  $\Theta : \mathbf{F}_\infty \curvearrowright X$  is sofic if for all  $r \geq 1$ , there exists a sequence of finite  $\mathbf{F}_r$ -actions  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that for each  $k \geq 1$  and  $\kappa \in U_r^k$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\alpha_n}^{k,r}(\kappa) = \mu_{\Theta_r}^k(\kappa).$$

In [1] the authors proved that

- Soficity is a property of the underlying equivalence relations. That is, if an action  $\Theta_1$  is orbit equivalent to a sofic action  $\Theta_2$ , then  $\Theta_2$  is sofic as well.
- Treeable equivalence relations are sofic.
- Actions associated to Bernoulli shifts of sofic groups are sofic.

**1.3. Full groups.** Let  $E(X, \mu)$  be a countable, measured equivalence relation on a Borel set  $X$  with invariant measure  $\mu$ . The Borel full group of  $E$  is the group  $[E]_B$  of all Borel bijections  $T : X \rightarrow X$  such that for any  $x \in X$ ,  $T(x) \sim_E x$ . We call two such bijections

$T_1, T_2$  equivalent if

$$\mu(\{x \in X \mid T_1(x) = T_2(x)\}) = 1.$$

The measurable full group  $[E]$  is the group formed by the equivalence classes. Obviously,  $[E] = [E]_B/N$ , where  $N$  is the normal subgroup of elements in  $[E]_B$  fixing almost all points of  $X$ .

Now, let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a homeomorphism of the Cantor set  $C$ . The topological full group  $[[T]]$  is the group of homeomorphisms  $S : C \rightarrow C$  such that  $C$  can be partitioned into finitely many clopen sets  $C = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$  such that  $S|_{A_i} = T^{n_i}$  for some integer  $n_i$ .

**1.4. Results.** Answering a question of Pestov <sup>1</sup>, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *The measurable full group of a sofic equivalence relation is sofic.*

Then, we give a very short proof of a result of Grigorchuk and Medynets [4].

**Theorem 2.** *The topological full group of a minimal Cantor homeomorphism is LEF.*

Let  $X$  be a countably infinite set and  $\Gamma$  be a countable group acting faithfully and transitively on  $X$ . Then  $\Gamma$  can be represented by automorphisms on the Abelian group  $\oplus_{x \in X} \{0, 1\}$ . The groups  $\oplus_{x \in X} \{0, 1\} \rtimes \Gamma$  are called the lamplighter group of the  $\Gamma$ -action. If the action is the natural translation action on  $\Gamma$ , then we get the classical lamplighter group of  $\Gamma$ . Paunescu [10] proved that if  $\Gamma$  is sofic, then the classical lamplighter group  $\oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \{0, 1\} \rtimes \Gamma$  is sofic. If  $\Gamma$  is amenable, then all its generalized lamplighter groups are amenable hence sofic. Nevertheless, we show that there exist non-amenable groups for which all the generalized lamplighter groups are sofic.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Gamma^k$  be the  $k$ -fold free product of the cyclic group of two elements. Then, for any transitive, faithful action of  $\Gamma^k$  on a countable set the associated lamplighter group is LEF.*

**Acknowledgement:** The author thanks Nicolas Monod and Gábor Pete for valuable discussions.

## 2. COMPRESSED SOFIC REPRESENTATIONS

Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable sofic group with elements  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$ . A compressed sofic representation of  $\Gamma$  is defined the following way. For any  $i \geq 1$ , we have a constant  $\epsilon_i > 0$  and for any  $n \geq 1$  we have mappings  $\Theta_n : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Map}(A_n)$  such that  $|A_n| < \infty$  satisfying the following condition: For all  $r > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $K_{r,\epsilon} > 0$  such that if  $n > K_{r,\epsilon}$  then

- $d_H(\Theta_n(\gamma_i \gamma_j) \Theta_n(\gamma_i) \Theta_n(\gamma_j)) < \epsilon$  if  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ .
- $d_H(\Theta_n(\gamma_i), Id) > \epsilon_i$  if  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .

Thus, in a compressed sofic representation we allow large amount of fixed points for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $\Gamma$  has a compressed sofic representation then  $\Gamma$  is sofic.*

---

<sup>1</sup>MR2566316-MathSciNet Review

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\Theta}_n^k : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Map}(A_n^k)$  be defined by

$$\tilde{\Theta}_n^k(\gamma)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (\Theta_n(\gamma)(x_1), \Theta_n(\gamma)(x_2), \dots).$$

Observe that if  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ , then

- $d_H(\tilde{\Theta}_n^k(\gamma\delta), \tilde{\Theta}_n^k(\gamma)\tilde{\Theta}_n^k(\delta)) \leq (1 - d_H(\Theta_n(\gamma\delta), \Theta_n(\gamma)\Theta_n(\delta)))^k$
- $d_H(\tilde{\Theta}_n^k(\gamma), Id) > 1 - (1 - d_H(\Theta_n(\gamma), Id))^k$

Hence, we can choose  $\epsilon$ ,  $n$  and  $k$  appropriately to obtain for any  $F \subset \Gamma$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$  a map  $\Theta$  as in the Introduction, proving the soficity of  $\Gamma$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $\Phi : \mathbf{F}_\infty \curvearrowright \{0, 1\}^\mathbf{N}$  be a sofic action preserving the product measure  $\mu$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset [E]$  be a finitely generated group, where  $[E]$  is the equivalence relation defined by  $\Phi$ . So, we have an action  $\Phi_\Gamma : \Gamma \curvearrowright \{0, 1\}^\mathbf{N}$ . Our goal is to construct a compressed sofic representation of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be an enumeration of the elements of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\epsilon_n = \mu(\text{Fix}(\Phi_\Gamma(\gamma_n)))/2$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is in the full group,  $\epsilon_n > 0$ . Now, fix a subset  $F \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . We need to construct a map  $\Theta : F \rightarrow \text{Map}(A)$  for some finite set  $A$  such that if  $\gamma_i, \gamma_j, \gamma_i\gamma_j \in F$  then

$$(1) \quad d_H(\Theta(\gamma_i\gamma_j)\Theta(\gamma_i)\Theta(\gamma_j)) < \epsilon$$

$$(2) \quad d_H(\Theta(\gamma_i), 1) > \epsilon_i$$

Let  $\{s_1, s_1^{-1}, s_2, s_2^{-1}, \dots, s_m, s_m^{-1}\}$  be a symmetric generating set for  $\Gamma$ . Observe that we have an action  $\Sigma_\Gamma : \mathbf{F}_m \curvearrowright \{0, 1\}^\mathbf{N}$  preserving  $\mu$  such that  $\Sigma_\Gamma(\delta) = \Phi_\Gamma(\tau(\delta))$ , where  $\tau : \mathbf{F}_m \rightarrow \Gamma$  is the natural quotient map. A dyadic  $E$ -map of depth  $k$  is a Borel map  $Q : X \rightarrow X$  is defined the following way. For each  $\rho \in \{0, 1\}^k$  we pick  $w_Q(\rho) \in \mathbf{F}_k \subset \mathbf{F}_\infty$  and define  $Q(x) = \Phi(w_Q(\rho))(x)$  if the first  $k$ -coordinate of  $x$  is  $\rho$ .

A dyadic approximation of  $\Gamma$  is a sequence of families  $\{Q_k(s_i)\}_{i=1}^m, \{Q_k(s_i^{-1})\}_{i=1}^m$ , where for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$

- $Q_k(s_i) : X \rightarrow X, Q_k(s_i^{-1}) : X \rightarrow X$  are dyadic  $E$ -maps of depth  $k$ .
- $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x \in X \mid Q_k(s_i)(x) \neq \Sigma_\Gamma(s_i)(x)\}) = 0$
- $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x \in X \mid Q_k(s_i^{-1})(x) \neq \Sigma_\Gamma(s_i^{-1})(x)\}) = 0$

We do not require  $Q_k$  to be a bijection. Nevertheless,  $Q_k$  can be extended to a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{F}_m$  to  $\text{Map}(X)$ . Note that for simplicity we identified the generating set of  $\mathbf{F}_m$  by the set  $\{s_1, s_1^{-1}, s_2, s_2^{-1}, \dots, s_m, s_m^{-1}\}$ .

Since all the  $\Sigma_\Gamma(s_i)$ 's are Borel bijections such dyadic approximations clearly exist. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the definition of the dyadic approximation.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $\delta \in \mathbf{F}_m$*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\text{Fix}(Q_k(\delta))) = \mu(\text{Fix}(\Sigma_\Gamma(\delta))).$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exists a sequence of mappings  $\hat{\Theta}_k : \mathbf{F}_m \rightarrow \text{Map}(B_k)$ , where  $|B_k| < \infty$  such that for any  $\delta \in \mathbf{F}_m$*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mu(\text{Fix}(Q_k(\delta))) - \frac{|\text{Fix}(\hat{\Theta}_k(\delta))|}{|B_k|}) = 0.$$

That is

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\text{Fix}(\hat{\Theta}_k(\delta))|}{|B_k|} = \mu(\text{Fix}(\Sigma_\Gamma(\delta))).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi_k : \mathbf{F}_k \curvearrowright \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the restriction of  $\Phi$ . Since  $\Phi$  is sofic, there exists a sequence of mappings  $\{\iota_k^n : \mathbf{F}_k \curvearrowright \text{Perm}(C_{k,n})\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , where  $C_{k,n}$  is a finite  $\{0, 1\}^k$ -vertex labeled graph such that for any  $t \geq 1$  and  $\kappa \in U_k^t$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\iota_k^n}^{t,k}(\kappa) = \mu_{\Phi_k}^t(\kappa).$$

Recall that  $Q_k$  is not necessarily an action, only a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{F}_m$  to  $\text{Map}(X)$ . Hence, the local statistics of  $Q_k$  can not be described using the elements of  $U_k^t$  as in the case of honest  $\mathbf{F}_m$ -actions. So, let  $W_k^t$  be the set of isomorphism classes of rooted  $t$ -balls of vertex degrees at most  $2m$ , where the vertices are labeled by elements of the set  $\{0, 1\}^k$  and the edges (possibly loops) are labeled by subsets of  $\{s_1, s_1^{-1}, s_2, s_2^{-1}, \dots, s_m, s_m^{-1}\}$ . Note that  $U_k^t \subset W_k^t$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be points such that  $B_{k^2}^{\Phi_k}(x)$  and  $B_{k^2}^{\Phi_k}(y)$  represent the same element in  $U_k^{k^2}$ . Here  $B_{k^2}^{\Phi_k}(x)$  denotes the  $k$ -ball with respect to the graphing associated to  $\Phi_k$ . Then, by the definition of the dyadic approximations  $B_k^{Q_k}(x)$  and  $B_k^{Q_k}(y)$  represent the same elements in  $W_k^k$ . Now we construct a sequence of maps  $\hat{\Theta}_k^n : \mathbf{F}_m \curvearrowright \text{Map}(C_{k,n})$  the following way.

$$\hat{\Theta}_k^n(s_i)(x) = \iota_k^n(w_{Q_k(s_i)}(\rho(x)))(x),$$

where  $\rho(x)$  is the  $\{0, 1\}^k$ -label of  $x$ . By the previous observation, for any  $\delta \in \mathbf{F}_m$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\text{Fix}(\hat{\Theta}_k^n(\delta))|}{|C_{k,n}|} = \mu(\text{Fix}(Q_k(\delta))).$$

This finishes the proof of the proposition □

Pick a section  $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_m$ , that is a map such that  $\tau\sigma = \text{Id}$ . Let  $\hat{\Theta}_k$  as in Proposition 3.1. Define  $\Theta_k : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Map}(B_k)$  by

$$\Theta_k(\gamma) = \hat{\Theta}_k(\sigma(\gamma)).$$

Then  $\{\Theta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a compressed sofic representation of  $\Gamma$ . □

#### 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a minimal homeomorphism and  $\Gamma \subset [[T]]$  be a finitely generated subgroup of the topological full group of  $T$  with symmetric generating set  $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ . It is enough to prove that  $\Gamma$  is LEF. Let  $x \in C$  and consider the  $T$ -orbit  $\{T^n(x)\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$ . We define the map  $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Perm}(\mathbf{Z})$  of  $\Gamma$  into the permutation group of the integers the

following way. Let  $\phi(\gamma)(n) = m$ , if  $\gamma(T^n(x)) = T^m(x)$ . Since  $T$  acts freely on  $C$ ,  $\phi$  is well-defined.

**Lemma 4.1.**  *$\phi$  is an injective homomorphism.*

*Proof.* If  $\phi(\gamma) = Id$ , then  $\gamma$  fixes all the elements of the orbit of  $x$ . Since all the orbits are dense, this implies that  $\gamma = 1$ . The fact that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism follows immediately, since  $\phi$  is the restriction of the  $\Gamma$ -action onto the orbit of  $x$ .  $\square$

Let  $a = \max |n|$ , where for some  $p \in C$  and  $a_i \in S$ ,  $a_i(p) = T^n(p)$ . We define a sequence

$$l : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \{-a, -a+1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, a-1, a\}^S$$

the following way. Let  $l(n) := (t_{a_1}, t_{a_2}, \dots, t_{a_k})$ , where  $a_i(T^n(x)) = T^{n+t_{a_i}}(x)$ . The following lemma is well-known, we prove it for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 4.2.**  *$l$  is a repetitive sequence, that is, if we find a substring  $\sigma$  in  $l$ , then there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that for any interval of length  $m$  we can find  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* For a point  $p \in C$ , we can define its  $n$ -pattern

$$q_n(p) := \{-n, -n+1, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, n-1, n\} \rightarrow \{-a, -a+1, \dots, a-1, a\}$$

by  $q_n(p)(j) := (t_{a_1}, t_{a_2}, \dots, t_{a_k})$ , where  $a_i(T^j(x)) = T^{j+t_{a_i}}(x)$ . Observe that the set of points with a given  $n$  pattern is closed. Now, let us suppose that for a sequence  $\{k_r\}_{r=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{Z}$  the intervals  $(k_r - r, k_r + r)$  do not contain  $\sigma$  as a substring. Then, if  $z$  is a limit point of  $\{T^{k_r}(x)\}_{r=1}^\infty$ , no translates of  $z$  have  $\sigma$  as a part of their  $n$ -patterns. Therefore the orbit closure of  $z$  does not contain  $x$ , in contradiction with the minimality of  $T$ .  $\square$

Now let  $r \geq 1$  and consider the string  $\sigma_r = l|_{\{-ar, -ar+1, \dots, ar-1, ar\}}$ , where  $a$  is the constant defined above. Note that if  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is the product of at most  $r$  generators then  $|\phi(\gamma)(i) - i| \leq ar$ . Pick  $n > 10ar$  such that

- $l|_{\{-ar+n, -ar+1+n, \dots, ar-1+n, ar+n\}} = \sigma_r$ ,
- for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  that is the product of at most  $r$  generators there is  $0 < j < n$  such that  $\gamma(j) \neq j$ .

Now we define  $\phi_r : W^r \rightarrow \text{Perm}(\mathbf{Z}_n)$ , where  $W^r$  is the set of elements in  $\Gamma$  that are products of at most  $r$  generators by  $\phi_r(i) = \phi(i) \pmod n$ . Clearly,  $\phi_r$  is injective and if  $x, y, xy \in W^r$  then  $\phi_r(x)\phi_r(y) = \phi_r(xy)$ . This implies that  $\Gamma$  is LEF.  $\square$

## 5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Let  $\alpha : \Gamma^k \rightarrow X$  be a transitive and faithful action of the free product group. Consider the Schreier graph  $G_\alpha$  of the action with respect to the generators of the  $k$  cyclic groups  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ . Recall that  $V(G_\alpha)$  is  $X$  and  $(x, y) \in E(G)$  if  $y = a_i x$  for some  $i \geq 1$ . Hence  $G_\alpha$  is a connected graph of vertex degree bound  $k$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be as above. Then for any  $1 \neq w \in \Gamma^k$ , there exist infinitely many  $y \in X$  such that  $\alpha(w)(y) \neq y$ .*

*Proof.* We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *For any finite set  $S \subseteq X$ , there exists  $g \in \Gamma^k$  such that  $gS \cap S = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* We define a lazy random walk on  $X$  the following way. For  $y \in X$  the transition probability  $p(x, y) = l/k$ , where  $l$  is the number of generators  $a_i$  such that  $a_i x = y$ . It is well-known (see e.g. [9],[8]) that the probabilities  $p_n(x, y)$  tend to zero for each pair  $x, y \in X$ . Now consider the standard random walk on the Cayley graph of  $\Gamma^k$ , the  $k$ -regular tree. Let  $P_n(g)$  be the probability being at  $g$  after taking  $n$  steps starting from the identity. Then,

$$p_n(x, y) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma, gx=y} P_n(g).$$

By the previous observation, if  $n$  is large enough, then

$$\sum P_n(g) < 1,$$

where the summation is taken for all  $g \in \Gamma^k$  such that  $gx \in S$ , for some  $x \in S$ . Hence, there exists  $g \in \Gamma^k$  such that  $gS \cap S = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

Now let us suppose that  $w \in \Gamma^k$  fixes all points of  $X$  outside a finite set  $S$ . That is  $\alpha(w)(S) = S$ . Let  $gS \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then  $gwg^{-1}$  fixes all the points of  $X$  outside  $gS$ . Therefore the commutator  $[w, gwg^{-1}]$  fixes all elements of  $X$ , in contradiction with the assumption that the action is faithful.  $\square$

Now fix a vertex  $x \in X$  and consider the ball of radius  $n$ ,  $B_n(x)$  around  $x$ . We define an action  $\alpha_n : \Gamma^k \curvearrowright B_n(x)$  the following way. Let  $\partial B_n(x)$  be the boundary of the ball  $B_n(x)$ , that is, the set of all  $y \in B_n(x)$  such that there exists  $a_i$  for which  $\alpha(a_i)y \notin B_n(x)$ . If  $y \notin \partial B_n(x)$ , then let  $\alpha_n(a_i)y = \alpha(a_i)y$ . If  $y \in \partial B_n(x)$  and  $\alpha(a_i)y \notin B_n(x)$ , then let  $\alpha_n(a_i)(y) = y$ . Finally, if  $y \in \partial B_n(x)$  and  $\alpha(a_i)y \in B_n(x)$ , then let  $\alpha_n(a_i)(y) = \alpha(a_i)(y)$ . Now let  $L_k^n = \{0, 1\}^{B_n(x)} \rtimes_{\alpha_n} \alpha_n(\Gamma^k)$  be the associated finite lamplighter group and  $L^k = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \{0, 1\} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma^k$ . Our goal is to embed  $L^k$  into  $L_k^n$  locally. That is, for any finite set  $F \subset L^k$  we construct an injective map  $\Theta : F \rightarrow L_k^n$  such that  $\Theta(fg) = \Theta(f)\Theta(g)$ . Recall, that each element of  $L^k$  can be uniquely written in the form  $a \cdot w$ , where  $a \in \bigoplus_{x \in X} \{0, 1\}$  and  $w \in \Gamma^k$ . We regard the elements of the lamplighter group as permutations of the set  $\bigoplus_{x \in X} \{0, 1\}$ . If  $\kappa \in \bigoplus_{x \in X} \{0, 1\}$  and  $p \in X$  then

$$(a \cdot w)(\kappa)|_p = a(p) + \kappa(\alpha(w^{-1})(p)).$$

We will also use the product formula

$$(a_2 \cdot w_2)(a_1 \cdot w_1) = (a_2 + \alpha(w_2)(a_1), w_2 w_1),$$

where  $\alpha(w_2)(a_1)(q) = a_1(\alpha(w_2^{-1})(q))$ . For  $l \geq 1$ , let  $H_l$  be the set of elements of  $L^k$  in the form of  $a \cdot w$ , where  $w$  is a word of length at most  $l$  and the support of  $a$  is contained in  $B_l(x)$ . For  $n \geq l$  we define the map  $\tau_l^n : H_l \rightarrow L_k^n$  by  $\tau_l^n(a \cdot w) := a \cdot \alpha_n(w)$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $n$  is large enough then  $\tau_l^n$  is injective.*

*Proof.* If  $n$  is large enough then  $B_n(x)$  contains a point  $y$  such that

- $\alpha(w)(y) \neq y$
- $d(y, \partial B_n(x)) > l$
- $d(y, B_l(x)) > l$ ,

where  $d$  is the shortest path distance on the Schreier graph  $G_\alpha$ . Let  $\kappa \in \oplus_{x \in X} \{0, 1\}$  be the element which is 1 at  $y$  and zero otherwise. Then

$$\tau_l^n(a \cdot w)(\kappa)|_{\alpha_n(w)(y)} = 1,$$

hence  $\tau_l^n(a \cdot w)$  is not trivial. □

The following lemma finishes the proof of Theorem 3.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose that  $(a_1 \cdot w_1), (a_2 \cdot w_2)$  and  $(a_2 \cdot w_2)(a_1 \cdot w_1) \in H_l$  and  $n$  is large enough. Then*

$$\tau_l^n((a_2 \cdot w_2))\tau_l^n((a_1 \cdot w_1)) = \tau_l^n((a_2 \cdot w_2)(a_1 \cdot w_1)).$$

*Proof.* We need to prove that

$$(a_2 \cdot \alpha_n(w_2))(a_1 \cdot \alpha_n(w_1)) = (a_2 + \alpha(w_2)(a_1)) \cdot \alpha_n(w_2 w_1)$$

holds in  $L_k^n$ . Fix an element  $\kappa \in \{0, 1\}^{B_n(x)}$ . Let  $n > 10l$  and  $d(p, \partial B_n(x)) > 5l$ . Then

$$(a_2 \cdot \alpha_n(w_2))(a_1 \cdot \alpha_n(w_1))(\kappa)|_p = (a_2 \cdot w_2)(a_1 \cdot w_1)(\bar{\kappa})|_p$$

and

$$(a_2 + \alpha(w_2)(a_1) \cdot \alpha_n(w_2 w_1))(\kappa)|_p = (a_2 + \alpha(w_2)(a_1) \cdot (w_2 w_1)(\bar{\kappa}))|_p,$$

where  $\bar{\kappa}$  is an extension of  $\kappa$  onto  $X$ . On the other hand, if  $d(p, \partial B_n(x)) \leq 5l$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (a_2 \cdot \alpha_n(w_2))(a_1 \cdot \alpha_n(w_1))(\kappa)|_p &= \alpha_n(w_2)\alpha_n(w_1)(\kappa)|_p = \\ &= \alpha_n(w_2 w_1)(\kappa)|_p = (a_2 + \alpha(w_2)(a_1)) \cdot \alpha_n(w_2 w_1)(\kappa)|_p \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. ELEK AND G. LIPPNER, Sofic equivalence relations. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **258** (2010) no. 5, 1692–1708.
- [2] G. ELEK AND E. SZABÓ, Hyperlinearity, essentially free actions and  $L^2$ -invariants. The sofic property. *Math. Ann.* **332** (2005), no. 2, 421–441
- [3] G. ELEK AND E. SZABÓ, On sofic groups. *Journal of Group Theory* **9** (2006), no.2, 161–171
- [4] R. GRIGORCHUK AND K. MEDYNETS, On algebraic properties of topological full groups. (preprint) <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1105.0719.pdf>
- [5] M. GROMOV, Endomorphisms of symbolic algebraic varieties. *J. Eur. Math. Soc* **1** (1999), 109–197.
- [6] K. JUSCHENKO AND N. MONOD, Cantor systems, piecewise translations and simple amenable groups. (preprint) <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1204.2132.pdf>
- [7] A. S. KECHRIS AND B. D. MILLER, Topics in orbit equivalence. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1852** Springer-Verlag (2004)
- [8] R. LYONS, Asymptotic enumeration of spanning trees. *Combin. Probab. and Comput.* **14** (2005) no. 4, 491–522.
- [9] B. MORRIS AND Y. PERES, Evolving sets, mixing, and heat kernel bounds. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **133** (2005) no. 2, 245–266.
- [10] L. PAUNESCU, On sofic actions and equivalence relations. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, **261** (2011) no. 9, 2461–2485.



- [11] A. M. VERSHIK AND E. I. GORDON, Groups that are locally embeddable in the class of finite groups. *Algebra i Analiz* **9** (1997) no. 1, 71–97.
- [12] B. WEISS, Sofic groups and dynamical systems. *Sankhya Ser. A* **62** (2000), 350–359.

gabor.elek@epfl.ch